

Family Name	Taylor
Given Name	Brian
Person ID	1286843
Title	JPA 24: Roch Valley
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>GREEN BELT</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, there is not a need in the area for (expensive) executive homes with minimal "affordable" properties.</p> <p>b, there are sufficient brownfield sites to cover any current needs - but these aren't being built upon!</p> <p>c, aren't there supposed to be an "exceptional circumstances" requirement before building on greenbelt?</p> <p>d, this area is bordering on a country park, an area of beauty and leisure (because it's countryside) and the foothills of currently unspoilt Pennine foothills</p> <p>e, etc</p> <p>TRAFFIC</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, the local infrastructure is at breaking point already</p> <p>b, the local (Rochdale) council have made no efforts to relieve existing traffic issues; I'm sure they will simply mumble "lack of funding" if this plan goes ahead and gridlock ensues - heads straight into sand, no solutions offered</p> <p>c, there is no Metro service close by and bus services are abysmal at best, virtually non existant otherwise. Trains from the nearest station are already overcrowded and struggle to provide a consistant service.</p> <p>d, etc etc</p> <p>SCHOOLS</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified due to -</p> <p>a, it would appear that the new school would offer insufficient places for the proposed expansion - more research and answers needed</p> <p>FLOODING</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, historically and recently Littleborough has been subjected to horrendous flooding. Building on Greenbelt can only exacerbate this.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>I'm in no way associated with these people and only read this whilst filling in these pages - but they make some good points</p> <p>Mayoral Election 2020 responses from JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This site should be removed from PfE
Family Name	Taylor
Given Name	Brian
Person ID	1286843
Title	JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>GREEN BELT</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, there is not a need in the area for (expensive) executive homes with minimal "affordable" properties.</p> <p>b, there are sufficient brownfield sites to cover any current needs - but these aren't being built upon!</p> <p>c, aren't there supposed to be an "exceptional circumstances" requirement before building on greenbelt?</p> <p>d, this area is bordering on a country park, an area of beauty and leisure (because it's countryside) and the foothills of currently unspoilt Pennine foothills</p> <p>e, etc</p> <p>TRAFFIC</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, the local infrastructure is at breaking point already</p> <p>b, the local (Rochdale) council have made no efforts to relieve existing traffic issues; I'm sure they will simply mumble "lack of funding" if this plan goes ahead and gridlock ensues - heads straight into sand, no solutions offered</p> <p>c, there is no Metro service close by and bus services are abysmal at best, virtually non existant otherwise. Trains from the nearest station are already overcrowded and struggle to provide a consistant service.</p> <p>d, etc etc</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>SCHOOLS</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified due to -</p> <p>a, it would appear that the new school would offer insufficient places for the proposed expansion - more research and answers needed</p> <p>FLOODING</p> <p>This policy is unsound and it is not justified because -</p> <p>a, historically and recently Littleborough has been subjected to horrendous flooding. Building on Greenbelt can only exacerbate this.</p> <p>I'm in no way associated with these people and only read this whilst filling in these pages - but they make some good points</p> <p>Maya and Jackson 27/06/2021 https://www.pfe.org.uk/forums/threads/AR640V55bCE9V084178vE8C8A0</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>This site should be removed from PfE</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Taylor</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Brian</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286843</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>Stakeholder Submission</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>